

To Clear or Not To Clear – That Is the Question

*Adam Downing, Forestry and Natural Resources Extension Agent, Northern District
Corey Childs, Agriculture and Natural Resources Extension Agent, Loudoun County Office
C.A. Shea Porr, Agriculture and Natural Resources Extension Agent, Equine, Northern District*

For a variety of reasons, many people are buying land and “moving to the country,” getting away from city living. This wonderful privilege that many Americans realize comes with responsibility. The desire to own land ought to be coupled with the desire to “do the right thing,” i.e. be good stewards of the land and the resources on it. While most people want to do the right thing, many do not know what options are available, nor do they realize the ramifications of certain decisions on their land.

There are several reasons why someone might want to clear woodland. Pasture for livestock, space for horseback riding, creating a vista, making space for a garden, increasing lawn size, or establishing a field for hay or other crops are but a few. Regardless of the reason, it is important to carefully evaluate all options and thoroughly understand the ramifications. Here are some of the questions to consider: Would it be better to harvest the timber first or bulldoze the woodlands all at once? How much pasture is needed, and how long will the process take? What are the economic, ecological, and social tradeoffs? Will clearing land have a negative effect on other personal objectives, such as privacy or wildlife? And what legal restrictions are associated with land clearing? It would be prudent if you answered these questions before making decisions about whether to clear your woodlands.

Considerations Before Clearing Land

Economic

While large, highly manicured lawns and pastures are perhaps a symbol of “accomplishment” and “dominion,” they come with a cost. The average Virginian

spends over \$700 a year on lawn care (NASS, 2006). A 15-horsepower riding lawn mower uses approximately 2.5 gallons of gas per hour, and bigger engines use more fuel. At \$3.00/gallon, this can add up to \$525.00 or more a year (assuming two hours of mowing each week and 35 mowing weeks a year) in gas costs alone, not to mention mower or tractor maintenance. Yearly inputs of fertilizers and herbicides add to the tab.

On the flip side, your forestland may help you earn money. If income from your land is important, have your woodland inventoried to evaluate the existing timber quantity, quality, and projected growth. These data can help you make decisions about land clearing and may be useful for tax purposes. Consulting foresters can inventory your woods and discuss timber values and provide financial analysis considerations. A list of consulting foresters can be obtained from your local Virginia Department of Forestry office (see the list at www.dof.virginia.gov/info/my-county.shtml).

Ecologic

Fuel, fertilizer, herbicides, and pesticides associated with lawn and pasture maintenance carry an ecological cost as well. Burning fossil fuels can significantly impact local and regional air quality. Additionally, inputs of chemicals to enhance turf or forage growth are often applied in excess. Excess chemicals are detrimental to the soil ecology and water quality. Research into regional water resources such as the Chesapeake Bay has repeatedly documented a severe effect on water quality from excess nutrient loads on lawns or agricultural fields in proximity to streams or even storm-water collection structures (Chesapeake Bay Program). Finally, drawn-out mowing times contribute to neigh-

borhood noise pollution, an increasing issue in many areas.

The highest ecological cost to clearing land, however, is not noise, exhaust, or fertilizers, but **erosion**. Soils are

“It’s all about the Soil”

Land-based activities, be they gardening, maintaining a lawn, harvesting timber, or clearing land, have very important common ground. It’s about the soil. Abused or lost soil is not easily fixed. Soils are the foundation of plant growth and the substrate to process precipitation into groundwater and clean surface waters. Soil cleared of vegetation is extremely vulnerable to erosion. In the eastern United States, the most common form of erosion stems from water energy (vs. wind) in the form of rain. Water has a tremendous ability to move soil. Soil movement, in the case of erosion, changes the productivity and resiliency of that land forever. Additionally, land clearing changes how precipitation is intercepted. Healthy ecosystems allow precipitation to infiltrate and become groundwater. Many times, disturbed systems cause water to run off into adjacent streams. Altering these natural systems often causes long-term problems that might not be appreciated until years later.

our most valuable natural resource. Without soil, we cannot grow food, fiber, or even a lawn. When soil is lost, not only does land productivity change but it is also likely that a nearby water body is impaired. Sadly, such effects are long lasting and nearly impossible to mitigate. Reviewing a soil map to evaluate the soils where clearing is being considered is prudent. How workable and/or prone to erosion are those soils and will they support different vegetation for soil conservation, or is forestland the best use for these soils?

Personal

Do you really want less woodland? Do your goals and values match the implications of reducing forests which provide wildlife habitat (food, cover, and shelter), visual and sound buffers, protection from damaging winds, soil conservation, water resource protection, areas for recreation and wildlife viewing, walking paths, backyard camping, and nature opportunities for children? Woodlands can also be a source of income or cost savings from activities such as thinnings to gather firewood, and harvesting medicinal/edible crops such as ginseng or shiitake mushrooms. With proper management, woodlands can provide a sustainable yield of timber for sale or personal use (for an introduction to forest management, read *Sustainable Forestry: A Guide for Virginia Forest Landowners*, Virginia Cooperative Extension publication 420-139).

Any plans to clear woodlands for residential housing should also take into account fire safety. The space between permanent structures and woods (termed

defensible space) should be an average of 30 feet. This guideline and other “firewise” principals will help to keep homes and other structures safe in the event of a wildfire. For more information on “firewise,” visit the Virginia Department of Forestry’s firewise website, www.dof.virginia.gov/fire/firewise-index.shtml.

Legal

It is also important to be aware of the legal aspects related to land clearing. Virginia’s Erosion and Sedimentation Control (ESC) Law requires that all land-management activities minimize soil erosion. The law is administered by the county or a designee. Most land-clearing activities require a disturbance permit and an approved sediment control plan from the appropriate county office. Erosion and sedimentation risks associated with timber harvesting and agriculture are enforced by the Virginia Department of Forestry. The Virginia Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services (VDACS), under the Virginia Agricultural Stewardship Act and in conjunction with the local Soil and Water Conservation District, also has jurisdiction over agricultural threats to water-quality (see the Stewardship Program at the VDACS website, www.vdacs.virginia.gov/stewardship/index.shtml). For more information on Virginia’s ESC Law, download the Virginia Department of Conservation and Recreation’s Erosion and Sedimentation Control Handbook at www.dcr.virginia.gov/soil_&_water/e&s.shtml. Be sure to talk to local administrators as well, as they can require more than the minimum set forth in the statewide standards. Additionally, be aware of potential zoning regulations or restrictions that might limit legal rights to clear the land. Local zoning offices can advise you regarding these matters. Property deeds should also be reviewed for covenants or easements that might restrict land clearing.

Finally, some areas should not be cleared regardless of your preference. Very steep slopes, riparian areas (areas bordering water), or any place where clearing would negatively impact the environment or a special feature, such as a site with threatened or endangered

Woodlands are increasingly valued and recognized for the myriad ecosystem services they provide to society. Woods are the single best land cover type for cleaning and storing water. New York City, for example, provides landowner incentives for protecting water quality on working forests in the watershed upstream from the city (Munsell, 2007; Brunette, 2003). As society begins to realize the real value of clean air and water from forests, it is possible that some owners will be able to take advantage of related market opportunities to supplement their income. Such opportunities are beginning to take shape with the exchange of carbon credits on the Chicago Climate Exchange.

species, should be avoided. Some of these restrictions are enforced with state or federal laws while others are voluntary. Contact your local Extension office or the Virginia Department of Forestry for more information.

Summary

Many options exist if you do decide to clear land. Regardless of the option you choose, the primary goal should be the same: to minimize soil loss and movement during and immediately after the land clearing process. For a discussion on land clearing options, see *Options for Clearing Land for Pasture Establishment*, Virginia Cooperative Extension publication 465-341.

Citations and References

2006, National Agricultural Statistics Service. 2004 Virginia Turf Survey.

Brunette, V., and R. Germain. 2003. Forest management in the New York City Watershed. Paper submitted to the XII World Forestry Congress, 2003, Quebec City, Canada, www.fao.org/docrep/article/wfc/xii/0649-b3.htm, accessed October 2007.

Chesapeake Bay Program, www.chesapeakebay.net/stressor1.htm, accessed October 17, 2007.

Gagnon, Jennifer L., and James E. Johnson. 2006. *Sustainable Forestry: A Guide for Virginia Forest Landowners*, Virginia Cooperative Extension publication 420-139, www.ext.vt.edu/pubs/forestry/420-139/420-139.html

Munsell, J.F. and R.H. Germain. 2007. Measuring BMP Knowledge and Implementation among Catskill/Delaware Watershed NIPF Owners. Proceedings: International Conference on Transfer of Forest Science Knowledge and Technology. Gen. Tech. Rep. PNW-GTR-726. Portland, Oregon: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Research Station. 255 p.

Porr, C.A. Shea, Corey Childs, and Adam Downing. 2008. *Options for Clearing Land for Pasture Establishment*, Virginia Cooperative Extension publication 465-341, www.ext.vt.edu/pubs/forestry/465-341/465-341.html

Acknowledgments

The authors wish to thank the following individuals for their contributions in review of this document:

- Neil Clark, Agriculture and Natural Resources Extension Agent, Forestry, Southeast District, Virginia Cooperative Extension
- Brad Jarvis, Agriculture and Natural Resources Extension Agent, Madison County, Virginia Cooperative Extension
- John Munsell, Ph.D., Assistant Professor and Extension Specialist in Forest Management, Department of Forestry, Virginia Tech College of Natural Resources
- Carl Neutzel, Carl Neutzel Services, Forestry Equipment Specialists
- Mac Saphir, Agriculture and Natural Resources Extension Agent, Caroline County, Virginia Cooperative Extension
- Thomas Snoddy, Forester, Virginia Department of Forestry, Spotsylvania County
- David Stone, Forester, Virginia Department of Forestry, Louisa County
- Chris D. Teutsch, Ph.D., Associate Professor, Southern Piedmont Agricultural Research Extension Center, Blackstone
- Greg Wichelns, District Manager, Culpeper Soil and Water Conservation District